

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/436,598	11/09/1999	ANDREAS LENNIGER	GR-97-P-1593 6870	
75	90 11/08/2002			
LERNER AND GREENBERG P A			EXAMINER	
POST OFFICE BOX 2480 HOLLYWOOD, FL 330222480		GRAYBILL, DAVID E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2827	
			DATE MAILED: 11/08/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/436,598	LENNIGER ET AL.				
Advisory Advisor	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David E Graybill	2827				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 04 November 2002 FAILS TO PLAGE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application the application of this application of this application at the same of this application at the same of the same	ch places the application in				
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the period for reply expire later the only of the period for reply expires and the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adversariance of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adversariance of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adversariance of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adversariance of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adversariance of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adversariance of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adversariance of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adversariance of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adversariance of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adversariance of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adversariance of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adversariance of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adversariance of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adversariance of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adversariance of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adversariance of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adversariance of the period for reply expires on: (1) the period for reply expires on: (1	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	d statutory period for reply originally set in onths after the mailing date of the final reje	the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in ection, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☑ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c)   they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .  6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims	7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	S:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_					
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:		Dr 5 gm				
		David E Graybill Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2827				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendments, unless specifically indicated infra as provisionally enterable, raise new issues that would have to be thoroughly considered in order to determine if the amendments constitute new matter, and the amendments would otherwise require undue further consideration and/or search.



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The request for reconsideration is directed to the unentered amendment, and on cursory consideration, the request for reconsideration does not otherwise appear to overcome the rejections.